

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,184	02/13/2002	William M. Traut	5310	
25181	7590 12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY HO PATENT GR	AG, LLP OUP, WORLD TRADE	PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, M	A 02110		1724	
		,	DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
Office Action Summary	10/073,184	TRAUT ET AL	
and an analy	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE CEAL:	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatin If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3	NTH(S) FROM by be timely filed 30) days will be considered time	(Y)
Status		, and to a document	
1) Responsive to community			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL .	2 <u>2 October 2004</u> .		:
3)☐ Since this application is:	This action is non-final.		· .
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to th	A morito is
	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 1 [.]	1, 453 O.G. 213	S ments is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are pending in the a	I		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	pplication.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.	,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are rejected.	:	•	40
/) Claim(s) is/are objected to	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			X.
Application D	u/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		1
is/are: a)	Coopted LVTI	_	1
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	de drawing(s) he hold in all	e Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the day	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I riority under 35 U.S.C. 6.110	Examiner Note the attack to a	objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Office the attached Office	e Action or form PTC	D-152.
2.0.0. 3 113			J.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1100	a)-(d) or (f)	:
		۳) (۱).	
mind dobies of the bridgity documen	its have been received.		*:
- Copies of the Utility documen	A_ L	tion No	
		ed in this Next	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Co iii iiiis National St	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	2d	1
	,		
Chmoutte			
chment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Robert B	4) Interview Summary	(DTC	- de 1 ·
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te .	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 Notice of Informal Page 1 	atent Application (PTO-152	2)
ent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 1-04)	6) Other:	, 3 12-	·

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either the French reference (FR 2769850) or Oldham (4,649,677), in view of the German reference (DT 2617364).

The French reference discloses a filter made from activated carbon, received in a conduit (2) which is inserted at a point in the gas venting system of a septic tank. The conduit (2) containing the filter therein is sealingly attached to the septic gas vent piping (see Figs. 1, 4 and 5). Since the filter is contained within conduit (2), the filter is disposed in at least one aperture - the aperture of the conduit. The French reference further discloses a filter made from activated carbon, received in a conduit (2) which is inserted at a point in the gas venting system of a septic tank. The conduit (2) containing the filter therein is sealingly attached to the septic gas vent piping (see Figs. 1, 4 and 5). Since the filter is contained within conduit (2), the filter is disposed in at least one aperture – the aperture of the conduit. Claims 4-6 call for the conduit having different sizes and being misaligned. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conduit to have different sizes or being misaligned since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Oldham discloses a septic tank (40) with an overhead pipe (46) through which methane gas

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

transported, pipe (46) connects to the horizontal pipe (see horizontal pipe in Fig. 11 which connects to pipe (46) which then transport the methane gas through filter (48). The filter (48) is contained in the horizontal pipe through which the gas flows so it would meet the disposed in at least one aperture limitation, i.e. the pipe aperture. Claims 1 and 3-19 differ from the disclosure of either the French reference or Oldham in that the aperture is in downward facing position and a fixture mounted on the leg. The German reference discloses a vent for a septic system including a conduit to convey gas with a downward facing aperture (see 3 in Fig. 2) comprising a leg configured to mount on, conceal a portion of and convey gas from the conduit wherein the leg is configured for mounting a fixture thereon. The German reference further discloses the leg closely or sealingly receives the conduit and configured to convey gas from the conduit to the passage, and a fixture mounted on the leg where the fixture is a statue or a garden ornament. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a vent for a septic system with a mounted fixture thereon as taught by the German reference in the conduit of either the French reference or Oldham to provide an artificial landscaping device adapted to fit over the septic system covers or vents and look fully natural to an unsuspecting observer by blending into the landscaping.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on October 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,184

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant amends independent claims 1 and 13 to have a downward facing aperture. The Examiner now introduces the French reference and Oldham as the primary references in combination with the German reference under the 103 rejection to show a downward facing aperture in the fixture mounted on the leg (see 3 in Fig. 2) wherein the aperture of the French reference or Oldham can be modified to have a downward facing position, as claimed, since the filter is contained within conduit, the filter is disposed in at least one aperture — the aperture of the conduit and the conduit can have different sizes and being misaligned. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conduit to have different sizes or being misaligned since such modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

November 12, 2004